Scott. II-27—40,004—6-11-28.

Law (Misc) (1929) Department.

Ms. SERIES.

G.O. No. 2914

DATED 27-7-29

[Despatch Abstract.]

Courts:—

Village Panchayat — Mangalore Taluk — South Kanara District — Abolition and reconstitution.

O.F. 29--35,826--17-12-21.

LAW (GENERAL) DEPARTMENT.

Received ..... 192 . Registered 6.7. 1929

Subject.

Courts - Village Panchayat - Mangalore Taluk - Abolition and reconstitution.

G.O.No.Misc.2319, Law (Genl.) Department, dated 4th June 1929.

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies.

Ref.B3- ~~No.~~ 5850-29.

Station Mangalore, Dated the 2nd July 1929

From (Name) G. W. Wells, Esquire, M.A., I.C.S.,

(Designation) Collector of South Kanara.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (General) Department,

Madras.

Sir,

In the G.O. quoted above, the proposals made in my letter Ref.B3-433-29, dated 17th May 1929 regarding the abolition and reconstitution of certain courts were approved. The notifications appended to the G.O., however, make mention of the principal village only of each court. The names of the other constituent villages forming the group have been omitted. As the practice hitherto had been otherwise, I request I may be informed whether my proposal to include the other villages in the respective groups to which my proposal allotted them has been approved.

Your obedient servant,

For Collector.

Law(General)Dept.

C.No:3038 B From the Collector, S.Kanara, Ref. B3-5850-29 dated 2-7-29.

Village panchayat courts, Managalore Taluk- abolition and reconstitution.

Please see the letter from the Collr. of S.Kanara on page 1 c.f. In cases where panchayat courts are abolished or constituted for villages with hamlets, the principal villages alone are mentioned The original order in this case was put up on the above analogy and the constituent villages were not mentioned in the notification.

2. A revised draft order is submitted for approval.

Which is the correct practice? In practice Sayr it is usual to include constituent villages — the Dpi says otherwise. But if the Dpi is right, why put up a revised order?

With reference to the U.S's query above it is submitted that the practice has been as stated by the Collector. The draft in G.O.No: 2319 L.G was put up on the analogy of G.O.No: 703 L.G dated 12-2-29--vide the draft notification on page 3 of the G.O and the draft notification issued by Govt. on page 5 of the same G.O. The places referred to as items (2),(3) & (4) on

page 3 are hamlets and are not ~~included~~ referred to in the notification issued by the Govt. But in the case of revenue villages the names of such villages should be mentioned in the notification--Vide notification in G.O. 1754 L.G dated 17-4-29. There was an oversight in this case which is much regretted.

For orders as to the issue of the draft order put up.

25.7.29

26.7.29

Law (General) Department.

Courts:-

Village Panchayat - Mangalore Taluk

South Kanara District - Abolition and reconstitution

G.O. No. 2819 Dated 4.6.29.

C.No.3038 B From the Collector of South Kanara, Ref B No. 5850-3 dated 2-7-29

ORDER

His. In supersession of the orders in G.O.2319 L.G d/ 4-6-29 the Govt direct that the notifications appended to this order ~~should~~ be published in the ~~South Kanara~~ South Kanara District Gazette.

2. The Collector is requested to see that the elections to the new courts constituted under notification II are held in time to enable them to start work from the prescribed date.

To

The Collector of South Kanara.
The Board of Rev.,
L. R. and Settlement.
The Revenue Deptt.
The Law (Legve) Deptt.
The R.G. of Panchayats.

Appendix.

Appendix

Notification I

In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section(7) of Section 9 of the Madras Village Courts Act, 1888, as amended by Madras Act II of 1920, the Governor in Council directs the abolition, with effect from the 1st September 1929, of the Panchayat Courts, constituted for each of the undermentioned groups of villages in the Mangalore Taluk of the South Kanara District.

I. Haleangadi
Pavanje
Sashihitlu
Bellairu
Padu Panambur
Koikude
Thokur
Panja
Attur
Chellairu

II. Bappanad
Karnad
Chitrap
Manampadi
Kilpadi
Kavathar
Athikaribettu
Shimanthur
Karnire

III.
Mennabettu
Aikala
Elinje
Talipadi
Kondemula
Nadugodu
Kilenjur
Elathur
Kemral

IV.
Surathkal
Iddya
Hosabettu.

V. Mundkur
Inna
Mulladka
Kollur
Balkunje
Elinje.

II.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section(1) of Section 9 of the Madras Village Courts Act, 1888, as amended by Madras Act II of 1920, the Governor in Council is pleased to direct that, with effect from the 1st September 1929, Panchayat Courts shall be constituted for each of the undermentioned groups of villages in the Mangalore Taluk of the District of SouthKanara.

I. Haleangadi
Pavanje
Sashihithlu

II. Padu Panambur
Thokur
Bellairu

III Koikude
Panja
Attur
Kemral.

IV. Bappanad
Karnad
Chitrap
Manampadi
Kilpadi
Shimanthur

V. Kavathur
Athikaribettu
Karnire
Kollur
Balkunje

VI. Mundkur
Mulladka
Inna
Ulipadi

VII. Kondemula
Nadugodu
Mennabettu
Kilenjur

VIII. Talipadi
Elathur
Aikala
Elinje

IX. Surathkal
Chellairu
Iddya
Hosabettu.